16-28-06

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

atent No. : 7,056,710

: June 6, 2006 ssue Date

: 09/836,705 Serial No.

Applicants : Yuki ABE et al.

Filed : April 17, 2001

For : METHODS FOR PRODUCING ML-236B,

A PRAVASTATIN PRECURSOR,

Art Unit : 1652

Examiner : Kathleen M. Kerr

Docket No. : 01149/HG

Customer No.: 01933

Confirm. No.: 7090

Express Mail Mailing Label No.: EV 919 338 982 US Date of Deposit: June 27, 2006 I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 with sufficient postage on the date indicated above and is

addressed to: MAIL STOP PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and the necessary petition for extension of time is not filed concurrently herewith, please consider this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not tendered by check attached hereto. authorization to charge the extension fee, or any other fee required in connection with this Paper to

Account No. 06-1378.

APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT INCLUDING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT INDICATED IN AN ISSUED PATENT (37 CFR §1.705(d))

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MAIL STOP PETITIONS

SIR:

This is a request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment of 218 days indicated in the above-identified issued patent. It is respectfully requested that applicants be afforded a patent term adjustment of 296 days.

- 2. This application for patent term adjustment is being filed within two months of the issue date (37 CFR §1.705(d)).
- 3. Applicants submit herewith a "Statement of the Correct Patent Term Adjustment: Basis Under 37 CFR §1.702 For the Adjustment (37 CFR §1.705(b)(2)(i) and (ii))."
- 4. The above-identified patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer (37 CFR §1.705(b)(2)(iii)).
- 5. As to any circumstances during the prosecution of this patent constituting a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of the above-identified patent application as set forth in §1.704 (37 CFR §1.705(b)(2)(iv)): there was none (37 CFR §1.705(b)(2)(iv)(B)).
- 6. The fee set forth in §1.18(e) (\$200), required by 37 CFR §1.705(b)(1), is paid as follows:

 Attached is a Form PTO-2038 in the amount of \$200.

To the extent not tendered by Form PTO-2038 attached hereto, authorization is given to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1378.

It is respectfully requested that this application for patent term adjustment be granted and that a Certificate of Correction be issued to indicate a patent term adjustment of 296 days.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD S. BARTH REG. NO. 28,180

FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, P.C. 220 FIFTH AVENUE, 16th FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001-7708 Tel. Nos. (212) 319-4900

(212) 319-4551/Ext. 219

Fax No. (212) 319-5101

E-Mail Address: BARTH@FHGC-LAW.COM

RSB/ddf

Encs.: (1) Statement of the Correct Patent Term Adjustment:

Basis Under §1.702 For the Adjustment (37 CFR §1.705 (b)(2)(i) and (ii))

(2) Form PTO-2038



IN THE UNITED STATES PATEN AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent No. : 7,056,710

Issue Date : June 6, 2006

Serial No. : 09/836,705

Applicants : Yuki ABE et al.

Filed : April 17, 2001

For : METHODS FOR PRODUCING ML-236B,

A PRAVASTATIN PRECURSOR, ...

Art Unit : 1652

Examiner : Kathleen M. Kerr

Docket No. : 01149/HG

Customer No.: 01933

Confirm. No.: 7090

Express Mail Mailing Label
No.: EV 919 338 982 US
Date of Deposit: June 27, 2006
I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States
Postal Service "Express Mail Post
Office to Addressee" service under
37 CFR 1.10 with sufficient postage
on the date indicated above and is

addressed to:
MAIL STOP PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents.

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dausthy De Francesco

Dorothy DeFrancesco

In the event that this Paper is late filed, and the necessary petition for extension of time is not filed concurrently herewith, please consider

this as a Petition for the requisite extension of time, and to the extent not tendered by check attached hereto, authorization to charge the extension fee, or any other fee required in

connection with this Paper to

Account No. 06-1378.

STATEMENT OF THE CORRECT PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT: BASIS UNDER 37 CFR §1.702 FOR THE ADJUSTMENT (37 CFR §1.705(b)(2)(i) AND (ii))

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

MAIL STOP PETITIONS

SIR:

1. This statement is being submitted in support of the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT INCLUDING REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT INDICATED IN AN ISSUED PATENT (37 CFR §1.705(d))" to which this statement is attached.

37 CFR §1.705(b)(2)(i)

2. The patent term adjustment indicated in the above-identified patent is 218 days. It is respectfully submitted that such indication of patent term adjustment is in error, due to the improper inclusion of a 78 day applicant delay with respect to the filing of drawings on March 21, 2006.

It is respectfully submitted that the correct patent term adjustment under 37 CFR §1.702 is 296 days.

37 CFR §1.705(b)(2)(ii)

- The basis on which applicants seek adjustment are as follows.
- a. Applicants received a USPTO Notice Regarding Drawings mailed on March 16, 2006 (which was almost six months after the issue fee was paid on September 27, 2005). The Notice stated that "Corrected drawings for the above-identified application, received in the USPTO on 04/17/2001 are still not acceptable for the reason(s) indicated on the attached PTO-948."

The Form PTO-948 attached to the March 16, 2006 USPTO Notice indicated that the drawings were objected to due to "Erasures, alterations, interlineations, folds, copy machine marks not accepted Fig(s) 1, 4."

b. In reply to the March 16, 2006 USPTO Notice, drawings for Figs. 1 and 4 were filed by the applicants on March 21, 2006.

The drawings submitted on March 21, 2006 were the same drawings as submitted on April 17, 2001, when the application was filed.

- c. In the approximately five years from the filing of the application until the mailing of the March 16, 2006 USPTO Notice, applicants did not receive any notification from the USPTO that the drawings were not acceptable.
- d. It is considered that any defects in the originally filed drawings were due to mishandling of the drawings by the USPTO.
- e. In effect, the drawings submitted on March 21, 2006 represented a courtesy copy of the drawings as originally filed.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD S. BARTH

REG. NO. 28,180

FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, P.C. 220 FIFTH AVENUE, 16th FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001-7708 Tel. Nos. (212) 319-4900

(212) 319-4551/Ext. 219

Fax No. (212) 319-5101

E-Mail Address: BARTH@FHGC-LAW.COM

RSB/ddf